tiaa

Follow Up Review of Internal Audit Recommendations

South Bucks District Council





June 2014

2013/14

Follow Up Review of Internal Audit Recommendations

- EXECUTIVE SUMMARY -

INTRODUCTION

1. This follow up review by TIAA established the management action that has been taken in respect of the recommendations arising from the internal audit reviews listed below which were completed to final report stage as at 31 March 2014 at South Bucks District Council. The review was carried out in April and May 2014.

Review	Year	Final Report issued
Budgetary Control	2013/14	04/10/2013
Cash, Bank & Treasury Management	2013/14	11/02/2014
Cemeteries	2013/14	28/06/2013
Council Tax/NDR (Compliance)	2013/14	19/11/2013
Council Tax Support	2013/14	28/03/2014
Counter-Fraud (Proactive)	2013/14	19/11/2013
Creditors	2013/14	07/01/2014
Data Security (IT)	2013/14	05/02/2014
Emergency Planning	2013/14	14/10/2013
Housing Benefits	2013/14	28/03/2014
Housing Grants	2013/14	18/06/2013
Joint Working	2013/14	19/11/2013
Main Accounting	2013/14	07/01/2014
Payroll	2013/14	20/02/2014
Planning – Customer Focus	2013/14	04/11/2013
Risk Management	2013/14	28/03/2014

Figure 1 – Reviews followed up



KEY FINDINGS

2. The follow up review considered whether the management action taken addresses the control issues that gave rise to the recommendations. The implementation of these recommendations can only provide reasonable and not absolute assurance against misstatement or loss. From the work carried out the following evaluations of the progress of the management actions taken to date have been identified.

Evaluation	Number of Recommendations
Implemented	23
In Process of Being Implemented	4
Revised Target Date	3
Considered but not Implemented	-
Not Applicable	12
Not Implemented	-

SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS OF THE REVIEW

- 3. The review considers the progress made in implementing the recommendations made in the previous internal audit reports and to establish the extent to which management has taken the necessary actions to address the control issues that gave rise to the internal audit recommendations.
- 4. The responsibility for a sound system of internal controls rests with management and work performed by internal audit should not be relied upon to identify all strengths and weaknesses that may exist. Neither should internal audit work be relied upon to identify all circumstances of fraud or irregularity, should there be any, although the audit procedures have been designed so that any material irregularity has a reasonable probability of discovery. Even sound systems of internal control may not be proof against collusive fraud.
- 5. For the purposes of this review reliance was placed on management to provide internal audit with full access to staff and to accounting records and transactions and to ensure the authenticity of these documents.

RELEASE OF REPORT

6. The table below sets out the history of this report.

Date draft report issued:	20 th June 2014
Date management responses recd:	30 th June 2014
Date final report issued:	30 th June 2014



- DETAILED REPORT -

FOLLOW UP

Management representations were obtained on the action taken to address the recommendations. Only limited testing has been carried out to confirm these management representations. The following matters were identified in considering the recommendations that have not been fully implemented:

Title of review: Assurance Review of Council Tax Support	Date issued:	28/03/14
--	--------------	----------

Rec	Original Finding	Original Recommendation	Priority	Management Comments	Implementation Timetable	Responsible Officer	Current Status
1	A review of the Academy system confirmed that a cumulative balancing report (with a tolerance of zero) is run on a daily basis in order to identify any discrepancies between the Council Tax Support recorded on the Benefits application and that recorded on the Council Tax application. A review of a recent balancing report indicated an imbalance on 8 claims, totalling £333.45. It was noted that a recommendation had been made in the previous year's audit review due to the fact that there had been an imbalance on 9 claims, totalling £1147.27. As such, while there has been an improvement since the previous year, the recommendation has been restated here.	Northgate as to the council tax balancing to ensure that necessary action is taken to investigate and rectify the 8 claims identified.		Agreed. This matter will be raised again with Northgate to investigate the differences.		Neil Berry Revenues and Benefits Client Manager	In process of being implemented. Confirmed by Revenues and Benefits Client Manager that this is currently being worked on by Northgate and evidence will be provided once the 8 claims have been rectified.



Titl	Title of review: Assurance Review of C		Counter Fraud (Pro-active work)		Date	issued:	19/11/2013	
Rec			Original Recommendation	Priority	Management Comments	Implementation Timetable	Responsible Officer	Current Status
2	for review in Au		The Finance Guidance Manual be reviewed and updated where necessary.	3	Agreed. However this is not a priority task at present.	31/03/2014	Head of Finance	Revised implementation date (31/08/14). Confirmed by Head of Finance that this has not yet been implemented.



Page 4

٦	Fitle of review:	Assurance I	Review of Emergency Planning			Date iss	ued: 14	/10/2013	
Rec.	Original Fin	iding	Original Recommendation	Priority	Managem Commen		Implementation Timetable (dd/mm/yy)	Responsible Officer (Job Title)	Current Status
3	It was confirmed Emergency Plann maintains an Volunteers Train Development Plan which lists all mem with specific Planning roles and training that has be by the volunteers in with their roles. It however, that the included was 201 majority of items 2008/9, despite the number of exercise during 2012 in pre- the Olympic Games.	Emergency ning and spreadsheet, ibers of staff Emergency records the een attended accordance was noted, latest entry 1 and the related to e fact that a s took place eparation for	and that all volunteers have participated in relevant recent training sessions/exercises in accordance with their role.		In combinatio recommendation 3 th will be reviewed and any additional forma training achieved.	e training log updated with		DG	In process of being implemented. Confirmed by the Emergency Planning Officer that this is currently being reviewed with a view to delivering training in partnership with CDC.
4	The Environmen Manager is also the Planning Officer. indicated that only	e Emergency Discussions	Consideration to be given to redirecting current resources or allocating additional resources to create capacity for the Environmental Health Manager (or		Consideration will be level of resources a service review 01/04/14.		From 01/04/2014	MH/DG	Revised <i>implementation</i> <i>date (30/09/14).</i> Confirmed by the



Rec.	Original Finding	Original Recommendation	Priority	Management Comments	Implementation Timetable (dd/mm/yy)	Responsible Officer (Job Title)	Current Status
	dedicated to the Emergency Planning function, given his other duties. It has been possible to delegate a number of						Emergency Planning Officer that the service review did not commence in April, and will now commence in September 2014.



Titl	le of review: Assurance Review of I	of review: Assurance Review of Housing Benefits Date issued: 28/03/14					
Rec	Original Finding	Original Recommendation	Priority	Manage Comme	Implementation Timetable	Responsible Officer	Current Status
5	With respect to recovery through the su debtor process, in one case it was noted no further action (e.g. reminder letters) been taken since the issuing of an invoid November 2013. It was indicated by Northgate Shared Service Manager Northgate have been experiencing s difficulties with the issuing of remin notices, and the problem is currently b investigated.	hat Northgate as to the ad outstanding issues with a in raising reminder notices in the order to ensure that the hat recovery of Housing me Benefit overpayments is der maximised through		Agreed. The m raised as a Northgate.		Neil Berry Revenues and Benefits Client Manager	In process of being implemented. This has been raised with Northgate informally however will be raised formally in the Operations Board meeting on 19 th May 2014.





Т	itle of review:	e of review: Assurance Review of th		5		Date issued:	20/02/2014	
Rec.	Origina	Finding	Original Recommendation	Priority	Management Comments	Implementation Timetable (dd/mm/yy)	Responsible Officer (Job Title)	Current Status
6	entered into be AVDC on 13 th Feb confirmed that considerably more previous array adequately se responsibilities of noted, however, does not contain record retention place between the example the cu maintaining pers	ween WDC and bruary 2013. It was the contract is e detailed than the ngements, and its out the both parties. It was that the contract details as to the arrangements in two authorities, for rrent practice of sonal files, but documentation to	practice for the record retention arrangements in place between the two authorities is adequately and formally recorded.		WDC: Agreed, timetable for action to be agreed with AVDC.		WDC Jamie Ford, Technician (Creditors & Payroll)	<i>In process of being implemented.</i> Confirmed by WDC that this issue has been raised with AVDC but a firm timetable for action has not yet been agreed.



2013/14

Т	itle of review:	Assurance Rev	iew of Planning – Customer Foc	us	Date issued: 2		23/10/2013	
Rec.	Original I	Finding	Original Recommendation	Priority	Management Comments	Implementation Timetable (dd/mm/yy)	Responsible Officer (Job Title)	Current Status
7	A review of the showed that 5 con been responded to timeframe, howev checklist (which is the annual report Overview & Scru showed that all con responded to with timeframe.	mplaints had not within the 10 day ver the master used to produce presented to the tiny Committee) nplaints had been	complaints to be reviewed and amended to ensure that it accurately reflects the information contained in the Planning department complaints		A review of the recordin, and reconciliation of th Corporate Complaint/compliment register to be carried out.	-	Laura Campbell	Revised <i>implementation date</i> (31.03.15). Confirmed by the Head of Customer Services that the complaints process will be reviewed as part of the Customer Services strategy, which will be an outcome of the service review to be completed by the end of the 14/15 financial year.



2013/14